

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.**



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of
Masanori TOHNISHI et al.

Serial No.: 10/088,543

Group Art Unit: 1624

Filed: March 19, 2002

Examiner: V. Balasubramanian

For: AROMATIC DIAMIDE DERIVATIVES OR SALTS THEREOF,
AGRICULTURAL/HORTICULTURAL CHEMICALS AND METHOD OF
USING THE SAME

D E C L A R A T I O N

RECEIVED

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D. C. 20231

AUG 22 2003

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Sir:

Shinsuke FUJIOKA, a Japanese citizen, 1-28,
Nishinoyamacho, Kawachinagano-shi, Osaka, Japan, hereby
solemnly and sincerely declares:

That I am one of the co-inventors of the
above-identified application;

That I have read and understand the Official
Action and the prior art references cited in the
Official Action dated April 8, 2003;

That in order to demonstrate that the
insecticidal effect of the present compounds is
unexpectedly superior to that of the compounds disclosed

in the cited EP-A-919542, I conducted the following comparative experiment:

1. Test compounds

The compound Nos. 136, 137, 140, 248, 265, 279, 284, 309, 321 and III-5 in Tables 1 and 3, on pages 46 and 51 to 54 and 56 of the present specification were used as the compounds for the present invention.

As to the comparative compounds, the compound Nos. 1975 and 1977 disclosed in EP-A-919542 were used as the comparative compounds.

2. Test method

The comparative tests were conducted by the procedures identical to those employed in the Test Examples 1 to 3 on pages 73 to 76 of the present specification, except that three concentrations of 500 ppm, 50 ppm and 5 ppm were used for the respective compounds. That is, Test Examples 1, 2 and 3 were carried out in order to investigate the insecticidal effects on diamond back moth (Plutella xylostella), Common cutworm (Spodoptera litura) and smaller tea tortrix (Adxophyes sp.).

The insecticidal effect of the present compounds and comparative compounds was evaluated according to the criterion below.












Criterion:

- A --- Mortality 100%
- B --- Mortality 99-90%
- C --- Mortality 89-80%
- D --- Mortality 79-50%
- --- Mortality 49% or less

3. Test results

The test results obtained from the comparative test are shown in the following Table:

Table

| | | Formula | Concentration (ppm) | Test Example 1 | Test Example 2 | Test Example 3 |
|---------------------|----------------------|--|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Present application | No. 136 |  | 500 50 5 | A A A | A A A | A A A |
| | No. 137 |  | 500 50 5 | A A A | A A — | A A A |
| | No. 140 |  | 500 50 5 | A A A | A A C | A A A |
| | No. 248 |  | 500 50 5 | A A A | A A — | A A A |
| | No. 265 |  | 500 50 5 | A A A | A A — | A A — |
| | No. 279 |  | 500 50 5 | A A A | A A A | A A — |
| | No. 284 |  | 500 50 5 | A A A | A A — | A A A |
| | No. 309 |  | 500 50 5 | A A A | A A A | A A A |
| | No. III-5 |  | 500 50 5 | A A A | A A — | A A A |
| Comparative | EP-919542 No.1975 |  | 500 50 5 | A A — | A — — | — — — |
| | EP-919542 No.1977 |  | 500 50 5 | A A — | — — — | — — — |

4. Discussion

As is clearly indicated in the above Table, all the present compounds exhibited the excellent insecticidal effect rated "A (mortality: 100 %)" at the concentration of 500 and 50 ppm in the results of Test Examples 1, 2 and 3.

On the other hand, comparative compounds disclosed in EP-A-919542 exhibited mortality rated "-" (49 % or less) in most of the concentration of Test Examples 1, 2 and 3. The compound No. 1975 merely exhibited mortality rated A at 500 ppm and 50 ppm in Test Example 1, and at 500 ppm in Test Example 2. The compound No. 1977 exhibited the mortality rated A at 500 ppm and 50 ppm only in the Test Example 1.

Particularly, both of the compound Nos. 1975 and 1977 exhibited mortality rated "-" (49 % or less) on Test Example 3 (insecticidal effect on smaller tea tortrix (Adxophyes sp.)), even at the highest concentration of 500 ppm. However, most of the present compounds (compound Nos. 136, 137, 140, 248, 284, 309 and III-5) exhibited mortality rated "A (mortality: 100 %)" on Test Example 3, even at the lowest concentration of 5 ppm.

Thus, the present compounds possess unexpected insecticidal effect over the compounds disclosed in EP-A-919542.

The undersigned declarant declares further that all statements made herein of his own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Signed this 30th day of July, 2003.

Shinsuke Fujioka

Shinsuke FUJIOKA